The Development and Future of Reconstructive and Microvascular Surgery of the Hand

Marco Malahias[1], Daniel J. Jordan[2], Sandip Hindocha[*,2], Wasim Khan[3] and Ali Juma[4]

[1]*Plastic Surgery Department, Good Hope Hospital, West Midlands, UK*

[2]*Plastic Surgery Unit, Whiston Hospital, Liverpool, UK*

[3]*Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital, London, UK*

[4]*Plastic Surgery Unit, Countess of Chester Hospital, Chester, UK*

Abstract: The hand is often thought of as a key discriminator in what makes humans human. The hand is both intricate and fascinating in its design and function, allowing humans to interact with their surroundings, and each other. Due to its use in manipulation of the person's environment, injury to the hand is common.

Devastating hand injuries have a profound, physical, psychological, financial and socially crippling effect on patients.

Advances in operative techniques and improvements in microscopes and instruments allowed Malt &McKhann to perform the first successful arm replantation in 1962 [1].

This was followed by a myriad of autologous free flaps of varying composition, that were discovered after the mapping of the cutaneous blood circulation by Taylor and Palmer [2] and Mathes & Nahai's classification of muscle flaps [3] providing us with countless options to harvest and transfer healthy, well vascularised tissues into areas of injury.

Since the late sixties, with the emerging subspecialty of microvascular reconstruction, surgeons have had the technical ability to salvage many amputated parts, even entire limbs.

The measure of functional outcomemust incorporate the evaluation and severity ofthe initial injury and the subsequent reconstructive surgeries [4].

INTRODUCTION

The hand is often described as the key discriminator in what makes humans human. The hand is both intricate and fascinating in its design and function, allowing humans to interact with their surroundings, and each other. Due to its use, humans have the ability to manipulate their environment and consequently injury to the hand is common.

Hand injuries pose a significant threat to an individual's work and social lives, as well as basic functioning. This paper aims to highlight the main concerns to both the patient and treating practitioner when a soft tissue injury to the hand occurs. Related to this, management and key points will be discussed, as early and appropriate management is key to a good outcome for the patient.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

Hand injuries account for between 10 and 20% of the patients attending the emergency department [5].

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Plastic Surgery, Whiston Hospital, Liverpool, UK L35 5DR, UK;
Tel: + 44(0)1244366265; Fax: +44(0)1244366265;
E-mail: hindocha2001@yahoo.com

Studies have shown that the majority of these are related to lacerations to the hand [6-8]. Other causes include soft tissue injury (including bruising and amputations), fractures (including dislocations), burns and infection.

Although a hand injury is very rarely life threatening, the high proportion of profound functional disablement means that they take on significant economical importance to the patient, as well as impacting greatly on their quality of life. Related to this they also have an impact on cost to society due to related time off from work [9].

Hand trauma, in general, often affects the younger population, is greater in the male sex and in the majority of cases is related to occupational, or assault injuries [6, 7, 10].

In terms of site of injury, the distal phalanges of the long and ring fingers are more commonly injured [6, 10].

The British Society for Surgery of the Hand [11] revealed data in 2007 stating 20% of patients attending Accident and Emergency Departments have hand injuries, equating to more than 1.36 million attendances for hand injuries in theUK each year. One in five of these injuries (271,000) require specialist care, and 71,000 patients require surgery [12, 13].

In the UK, the Health and Safety Executive is responsible for enforcing health and safety in the workplace. Their latest data, from the twelve month period during 2009 and 2010, reveals an increased proportion of injuries in skilled trade occupations, process, plant and machine operatives as well as elementary occupations as compared with office workers [14]. Upper limb trauma, is amongst the highest incidence anatomically speaking.

The hand, wrist and fingers are top scoring as a region, followed by the back, the arm and lower limb [15].

Lacerations are highly reported, and when combined with fractures accounted for over 30,000 incidents over this twelve month period [16].

It is not only the patient who can be affected by hand trauma; several studies highlight the importance of appropriate and timely management of these injuries with up to 65% of malpractice claims aimed at emergency department management [17]. High figures are also seen with claims attributed to errors at surgery and outpatient visits [18]. The importance of the first examination and the 'fate of the hand' have been documented many times, including textbooks such Rockwood and Green [19].

THE HAND: AN ANATOMICAL OVERVIEW [20]

The hand is complex. This paper aims to deal with soft tissue injuries to the hand, but it is important to remember that soft tissue injuries can be complicated by bony injury. The hand has 27 bones, which act as a framework for the soft tissues to function. The hand is best described in terms of the palmar, or volar surface and the dorsal surface, or back of the hand. The back of the hand consists of little soft tissue incorporating thin skin (compared to anywhere else in the body and allowing a greater range of movement), multiple lymphatic vessels and veins and the very superficial extensor tendons. The palmar aspect of the hand consists of a thicker skin, with much reduced pliability protecting the main nerves and arteries of the hand as well as the extrinsic flexor tendons, and deeper intrinsic muscles. The palm is also highly sensitive with a high ratio of sensory nerve output.

Regarding the muscles of the hand, these are easily divided into extrinsic and intrinsic muscles. The extrinsic muscles of the hand are named due to the fact that their muscle belly is almost always found outside the hand unit, with their insertion within. They include the flexors and extensors of the digits. The extrinsic muscles of the hand are not discussed in this paper. The intrinsic muscles of the hand, with both their origin and insertion found in the hand, consist of four main groups; the thenar and hypothenar groups, the interossii and the lumbricals. These groups have their key points summarised in Table **1**.

The thenar muscles are related to the thumb, originating from the flexor retinaculum and carpal bones and inserting into the proximal phalanx of the thumb. These muscles enable the great versatility of the thumb movement, allowing grip, pincer and grasping movements. Hence why the thumb accounts for almost 40% of the whole hand function [21, 22].

Table 1.   Recreated from Moore KL, Dalley AF. Clinically Orientated Anatomy (Fourth edition) 1999 (Upper Limb pp766-771).

Group and Muscle		Proximal Attachment	Distal Attachment	Innervation	Main Action
Thenar Muscles (compartment)	Abductor Pollicis Brevis	Flexor Retinaculum and tubercles of scaphoid and trapezium	Lateral side of base of proximal phalanx of thumb	Recurrent branch of median nerve	Abduction of thumb (+ Opposition)
	Flexor Pollicis Brevis				Flexion of thumb
	Opponens Pollicis		Lateral side of 1st metacarpal		Opposition of thumb
Adductor compartment	Adductor Pollicis	2 heads; Oblique-2nd/3rd metacarpal bases, capitate and carpals Transverse- 3rd metacarpal body	Medial side of proximal thumb phalanx	Deep Branch of Ulnar nerve	Adduction of thumb
Hypothenar Muscles (compartment)	Abductor Digiti Minimi	Pisiform	Medial side of of base of proximal phalanx 5th digit		Abduction of 5th digit
	Flexor Digiti Minimi	Hook of hamate and flexor retinaculum			Flexes proximal phalanx of 5th digit
	Opponens Digiti Minimi		Medial border of 5th metacarpal		Opposition of 5th digit
Lumbricals	Lumbricals 1 and 2 (Radial)	Lateral two tendons of flexor digitorum profundus	Lateral sides of extensor expansions of digits 2-5	Median Nerve	Flexion of digits at MCPJ and extension of interphalangeal joints
	Lumbricals 3 and 4 (Ulnar)	Medial three tendons of flexor digitorum profundus		Deep Branch of Ulnar nerve	
Interossei	Dorsal Interossei (1-4)	Adjacent sides of two metacarpals	Extensor expansions and bases of proximal phalanges 2-4		Abduction of digits (also assistance to Lumbricals)
	Palmar Interossei (1-3)	Palmar aspect of 2nd, 4th and 5th metacarpals	Extensor expansions of digits and proximal phalanges of digits 2, 4 and 5		Adduction of digits (Also assistance to Lumbricals)

The hypothenar muscles lie on the ulnar aspect of the hand, and are all innervated by the ulnar nerve. These, like the thenar muscles originate from the proximal carpal region and insert towards the proximal digits of the little finger.

The interossii group of muscles involves three volar and four dorsal muscles, arising from the metacarpals. The former are involved in adduction of the fingers, the latter abduction, and are again innervated by the ulnar nerve.

The lumbricals of the hand, of which there are eight, help to flex the metacarpophalangeal joints, putting the hand in the 'writing position', as well as extending the interphalangeal joints. They are the only muscles of the human body without a bony attachment.

These muscle groups and their surrounding fascia form several compartments. The thenar compartment, and the hypothenar compartments can be made up of several smaller compartments, and the individual interossii form their own [23]. Added to this there are two potential spaces, the thenar and midpalmar spaces. The thenar space underlies the thenar compartment and is related distally to the index finger synovial tendon sheath and the common flexor sheath in the carpal tunnel proximally.

The midpalmar space lies between the middle, ring and small finger flexor tendons and the volar interossii muscles. This extends from the radial midpalmar septum to the ulnar lying hypothenar muscles. Between these two potential spaces lies the strong lateral fibrous septum and its attachment to the 3rd metacarpal.

Regarding the digits there are potential spaces within the individual finger pulps. Potential spaces can also be found within the sheaths protecting, the flexors of the digits, which begin at the level of the metacarpal-phalangeal joint. These often communicate with the radial and ulnar flexor sheaths of the thumb and little finger, which can extend into the forearm.

CLASSIFICATION OF HAND INJURIES

Apart from anatomically describing the injured areas of a hand and communicating the clinical findings to colleagues, or documenting the injuries in a descriptive fashion, there are several other ways of classifying hand injuries.

These aim to be accurate, standardised, user friendly and should facilitate communication between clinicians [24]:

The primary author's preferred classification system is 'The Tic-Tac-Toe' classification. It was developed and first described by Jeffrey and Norman Weinzweig in 1997, and aims to offer a comprehensive classification system that enables an accurate description (and predicted outcome) for hand injuries [24].

The *hand* itself is subdivided into nine zones of injury. (See Table **2**).

The *hand injuries* are categorizes then into seven types, as shown in Table **3**.

The *hand injury subcategories* include three subtypes: (A) soft-tissue loss, (B) bony loss, and (C) combined tissue

loss, with or without loss of vascular integrity, which is recorded as: (0) vascularity intact or (1) devascularised.

Table 2.   Nine zones of the hand ('Tic-Tac-Toe' classification).

1 Thumb Phalanges	2 Index & Middle Phalanges	3 Ring & Little Phalanges
4 Thumb Metacarpal	5 Index & Middle Metacarpal	6 Ring & Little Metacarpal
7 Scaphoid, Trapezium, Trapezoid.	8 Capitate, Lunate.	9 Hamate, Triquetrum, Pisiform.

Table 3.   Hand injury types ('Tic-Tac-Toe' classification).

I	Dorsal mutilation
II	Palmer mutilation
III	Ulnar mutilation
IV	Radial mutilation
V	Transverse amputations
VI	Degloving injuries
VII	Combination injuries

Therefore, as an example, the injury code: Type I, C1, Zone 2, would correspond to a dorsal injury with both bone and soft tissue loss to both index and middle fingers with vascular compromise.

It becomes obvious that this method will allow the surgeon who first assesses an injured, mutilated hand, to convey a fairly accurate and informative picture about the extent of the clinical findings.

Reid classified mutilating hand injuries in 1984 and subdivided them into six categories, including dorsal and palmar amputations, radial and ulnar hemi amputations, distal amputations and degloving injuries [25].

A preceding system is the Modified Pulvertaft classification devised in 1971. This is based purely on an anatomical, descriptive approach when it divides mutilating hand injuries. It comprises five subtypes: Ulnar, radial, central, transverse and other [26].

Wei's classification [27] has a more specific function, as it only focuses on describing the 'metacarpal hand' [28] and aims to offer surgeons a guide, when aiming at reconstructing a functional 'tripod pinch' for their patients, to enable them to make use of their mutilated stump. It divides the injured hand into two categories:

Type I: thumb is intact; all fingers are amputated from the proximal interphalangeal joint.

Type II: Thumb is amputated, proximal to the interphalangeal joint; at least three fingers amputated, proximal to proximal interphalangeal joint.

HISTORY OF MICROVASCULAR HAND RECONSTRUCTION

Wound closure with the patient's own, healthy, well perfused tissue remains the 'gold standard' of treatment [29], that every reconstructive surgeon should aim for, when dealing with the mutilated hand and considering the various steps of the reconstructive ladder.

Equally important however, is the thorough debridement of wounds prior to definitive repair of damaged tissues. Inadequate removal of devitalised or foreign material may lead to deep seated chronic infections that will ultimately result in failure of the reconstructive procedure.

Advances in operative techniques alongside improvements in microscopes and instruments allowed Malt & McKhann to perform the first successful arm replantation in 1962 [1], heralding the dawn of a new era for reconstructive surgery, namely the 'microvascular' subspecialty.1964 saw the first free transfer of vascularised intestine to reconstruct the cervical esophagus, by Nakayama [30].

This was followed by Komatsu and Tamai, who in 1968 performed the first successful digit replantation [1].

A year later Cobbett reconstructed a lost thumb with the first successful toe-to-thumb transfer [30]. However, the history of successful free tissue transfer started in the early 1970's when Rollin Daniel performed the first autologous free groin flap, using it to cover a soft tissue defect.

This was followed by a myriad of autologous free flaps of varying composition, that were discovered after the mapping of the cutaneous blood circulation by Taylor and Palmer [2] and Mathes & Nahai's classification of muscle flaps [3] providing us with countless options to harvest and transfer healthy, well vascularised tissues into areas of injury.

The ideal reconstruction of a damaged hand occurswhen the amputated component is available, having been salvaged from the scene of the accident and deemed suitable for replantation. Depending on the anatomicalpart involved, both cold and warm ischaemia times may vary greatly.

Muscle is the tissue most susceptible to ischemia and undergoesirreversible changes after 6 hours at room temperature. As digits do not contain muscle, they have a much longer ischemic tolerance [1].

Successful replantations after 33 hours of warm and 94 hours of cold ischemia have been reported. A hand amputation has been successfully replanted after 54 hours of cold ischemia [1].

The first step in replantation and hand trauma has to be adequate bony fixation with repair of periosteum. This will offer the surgeon a more robust structure to work with and is then followed by the repair of the extensor, and then flexor, tendons.

Only after the tendon repairs are complete, is the arterial repair performed; attempting a reconnection of the artery sooner, would jeopardise the anastomoses. Subsequently the neurorrhaphy is to be undertaken, before repairing the veins.

Finally the skin closure completes the steps of replantation [1, 31].

Both absolute and relative contraindications, as described by Neil F. Jones [1] can be found in Table **4**.

Table 4.   Absolute and relative contraindications.

Absolute Contraindication:
Significant associated injuries
Multiple injuries within the amputated part
Systemic illness
Relative Contraindications:
Patient's advanced age
Patient's psychological problems
Single-digit amputation
Avulsion injuries
Massive contamination
Prolonged warm ischemia time

TOE AUTOTRANSPLANT TO CREATE 'PINCER'

The question of limb salvage versus primary amputation, most commonly for injuries involving vascular compromise and crush injuries, poses a difficult choice for both the surgeon and the patient. The decision to amputate may have significant psychological, socioeconomic, and last but not least medico legal repercussions [32].

When amputation is inevitable, performing early surgery enhances patient survival reduces pain, disability and shortens hospitalization [33].

Since the late sixties, with the emerging subspecialty of microvascular reconstruction, surgeons have had the technical ability to salvage many amputated parts, even entire limbs. However, this sometimes represents "technique over reason" and may have a physically, psychologically, financially and socially crippled patient-with a useless, salvaged limb-as the end result [34].

Michon and Dolich coined the term metacarpal hand in 1974, and defined the metacarpal hand as either a complete loss of all the digits or a hand with an intact thumb (entirely or partially), 'thumb without fingers' [35].

As previously mentioned, Wei *et al.* proposed a classification and guidelines for the treatment of metacarpal hand [36]. The main idea was to reconstruct a tripod pinch for the injured hand.

With bilateral metacarpal hands, a maximum of five toes may be used in the reconstructive transfer to reduce donor site complications. The dominant hand should be reconstructed as the first operation where thenar function was considered adequate [36].

DISCUSSION

H.C. Brown stated in his paper, published as early as 1968: ''Any salvage of workable or sensory parts in a hand is worthwhile and infinitely better than a prosthesis'' [37]. Several other studies [38, 39] came to the same conclusion

after comparing the functional results of replantation as opposed to prosthesis in the upper extremity following amputation.

Very often hopelessly infected, complex wounds would have resulted in loss of limb or even life, if it were not for the constantly expanding fields of science and medicine. Numerous biomedical and mechanical adjuncts have been recently added to the armamentarium of non surgical aids available to us, when dealing with complex injuries.

One of these adjuncts is Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT):

Research into this field started in 1989 by Dr. Louis Argenta and Prof. Michael Morykwas of Wake Forest University School of Medicine in North Carolina, of the USA.

In 1997, Morykwas and Argent approved that NPWT both enhanced granulation tissue formation and simultaneously helped bacterial clearance, through the application of negative pressure [40, 41].

This was confirmed in 2005, when Armstrong and Lavery concluded that NPWT was assisting in the development of granulation tissue in complicated wounds [42].

It was also shown to clear excess exudates [43] leaving behind a moist wound bed; advantages of this include reduced pain and reduced exposure to infection [44]. In addition it prevents eschar formation that would delay epithelial migration, thus allowing wound healing to occurmore effectively than in wounds with a dry surface [45].

NPWT has been shown to effectively treat osteomyelitis and soft tissue infections after adequate surgical debridement of necrotic, nonviable tissue and appropriate antibiotics [46-54].

Oedema, that can occur as a direct response to tissue injury results in an increase in interstitial pressure. This in turn causes occlusion of the microvasculature and lymphatics resulting in a lack of nutrient and oxygen delivery to the tissues. Secondary to this, there is an accumulation of metabolic waste and increased bacterial count, which results in the release of protein degrading enzymes, causing capillary damage and hypoxia. This ultimately increases inflammation and creates a proteolytic environment [55].

The negative suction facilitates the removal of excess interstitial fluid thus may improve some of those parameters.

As mentioned previously, NPWT is useful in aiding granulation tissue formation. This was shown in a porcine study model involving 25 pigs where laser Doppler probes were placed inside surgically created wounds and the blood flow was studied [40].

The authors found that when negative pressure was applied, in 25 mmHg increments up to 400 mmHg (lasting 15 minute intervals) theoptimal maximal improvement of blood flow is 125 mmHg, which results in an increase in blood supply by 400%.

This increase is only sustainable for approximately 5 minutes before it declines. The pressure has to be paused for at least 2 minutes between each application. It is therefore advisable to choose the 'intermittent' therapy option when applying NPWT to a wound.

In a similar study Morykwas *et al.* [40] inoculated surgical wounds in five pigs, with 108infecting organisms. They then applied 125mmHgnegative suction to some of these wounds and harvested full thickness biopsies from each of these sites every 24 hours. Between day four and five, wounds treated with NPWT had a decrease in bacterial load by 10^5.

Contraindications to the use of NPWT are highlighted in Table **5**.

Table 5.   Contraindication to NPWT.

1	Malignancy in the wound
2	Untreated osteomyelitis
3	Unexplored fistulae
4	Necrotic tissue with eschar present
5	Exposed organs and blood vessels

Dermal Substitutes form another facet in the ever broadening spectrum of advances to science. These products have provided soft tissue coverage, when there is lack of soft tissue, to close wounds:

CULTURED AUTOLOGOUS KERATINOCYTES

It has been possible to prepare sheets of keratinocytes in a laboratory for over 20 years [56, 57]. These cultured sheets can be applied to acute wounds, burns [58, 59] and also chronic leg ulcers [60].

They are expensive to produce with a $2cm^2$biopsy producing a $1.8m^2$ sheet of tissue, in a process that takes approximately four weeks to complete. Cultured keratinocytes may be preserved frozen; however, the colony forming efficiency of these cells decreases by almost 50% [61].

Similar to split-skin grafts, the take of these fragile cultured epithelial cells depends on the wound bed. Healthy granulation tissue and muscle fascia, give the best result [61].

The resulting epithelial wound cover is unstable and remains susceptible to infection and contractures for the first few months after reconstruction [62].

Histologically, this fragility and increased susceptibility of the grafted sheets may be related to the immaturity of the dermo-epidermal junction, which results in inadequate anchoring that improves with maturation of the autograft, seen after the first 6-12 months [63].

ALLODERM is a human dermal graft that is a cellular, and is used for soft-tissue reconstruction in the trauma patient. It originates from human cadaveric skin, being denuded of epithelium and decellularized in such a way that the extracellular dermal matrix and basement membrane

architecture are preserved. It is freeze-dried, with a shelf life of up to two years.

Once the product is used to cover a soft tissue defect, it becomes fully incorporated as part of the recipient's body. This happens through a process of revascularisation followed by cellular repopulation with the recipient's own cells [64].

BIOBRANE is a bilaminate membrane composed of a nylon mesh stuck to a thin layer of silicone [65].

The nylon is covered with peptides originating from type I collagen, derived from pigs and promotes fibrovascular ingrowth. The silicone carrier is semi-permeable, thus preventing the collection of exudate under the film.

Biobrane separates as the wound heals and is easily peeled away from the surface of the healing injury. It is best used on superficial partial-thickness burns sites within the first 6h of injury, as it works best on uncontaminated wounds. Burns can be fully healed within approximately two weeks. Hospital stay may be reduced by 46% [66].

INTEGRA is currently the most widely accepted synthetic skin substitute and was first described by Yannas *et al*. in 1980 [67, 68].

Integra has a bilaminar structure, formed of bovine collagen and glycosaminoglycan, coated on one side with a porous silicone membrane that provides epidermal function. The pore size is between 70-200μm, which allows migration of the patient's own endothelial cells and fibroblasts. Smaller pores may interfere with optimal biointegration and larger pores don't provide sufficient attachment area for the migrating host cells.

Once a wound is debrided thoroughly and the Integra is used to cover the clean wound bed, the collagen layer is biointegrated through a process of cellular invasion by the host cells. From the resulting angiogenesis, concludes in the formation of a vascular 'neodermis'; this process takes approximately 3-6 weeks. Once the biointegration stage has reached maturity at three weeks, the silicone layer will peel off quite readily and an ultra-thin split skin graft can be applied, as a second stage of the reconstructive process. One of Integra's main advantages is that it provides immediate wound cover. Initial problems with this product included haematoma and seroma formation and premature silicone separation, as highlighted by a study in 1981 [69].

Numerous subsequent studies of patients treated with Integra showed the areas grafted with artificial skin to be cosmetically superior when compared to those where autograft was utilised on its own. It has also been demonstrated that the areas grafted with the artificial skin grew with the child, without any problems [70]. Further reports by Stem *et al*. showed that there was no evidence of an immune reaction or scarring [71].

Current research is focusing on modifying the collagen-glycosaminoglycan matrix through the incorporation of peptides [72] and antibiotics; [73] cultured autologous keratinocytes have also been shown to produce a surface epithelium when seeded as a suspension onto Integra, in animal trials [74].

The most daring advancement in recent years, which may well represent the final frontier of microvascular free tissue transfer, is undoubtedly cadaveric allografting of the hand:

During the years of 1998 and 2009, 42 hand and3 arm allotransplants were performed in 33 patients worldwide. These patients were followed up between 17 to 120 months and so far 25 of these transplants have survived [75].

Patients are usually treated with monoclonal antibodies, corticosteroids, Azathioprine, Methotrexate, and non-steroidal drugs [76-79] to permit graft survival, by suppressing the recipient's immune response.

At present the cocktail of immunosuppressant medication and steroids may lead to cardiac and hepatic failure, cataracts and numerous cutaneous malignancies for example Basal and Squamous Cell Carcinomata [80].

It is the author's opinion, that scientific advancement will eventually provide us with medication that will keep both acute and chronic graft rejection at bay, with fewer side effects, causing less morbidity to the recipient.

Cadaveric allografting of entire limbs is bound to become more readily available; routinely performed 'spare-part' surgery, which will then solve the daunting socio-economic challenges these trauma patients were faced with, after losing a limb.

CONCLUSION

Severe hand trauma may be a devastating and life-altering experience, which has a huge psychosocial and economic impact on both the patient's and their families. The sustained injuries and prolonged path to recovery have far reaching effects on the patient's ability to work, perform activities of daily living and to lead an independent life, ultimately affecting their psychological well being.

When dealing with devastating hand injuries, it is vital to rememberthat the road to reconstruction is complex, lengthy and emotionally charged.

In their study of 2003Bueno and Neumeister summed the journey of the mangled hand up, by stating that: 'the measure of functional outcomemust incorporate the evaluation and severity ofthe initial injury and the subsequent reconstructive surgeries' [4]. It is imperative all surgeons dealing with hand injuries consider this statement when executing management.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

[1]   Thorne CH. Grabb and Smith's plastic surgery. 6th ed. US: LWW 2007; 91: 868-83.

[2]   Taylor GI, Palmer JH. The vascular territories of the body: experimental study and clinical applications. Br J Plast Surg 1987; 40(2): 113-41.

[3]   Mathes SJ, Nahai F. Clinical applications for muscle and musculocutaneous flaps. St. Louis: CV Mosby 1982.

[4]   Bueno RA, Neumeister MW. Outcomes after mutilating hand injuries: review of the literature and recommendations for assessment. Hand Clin 2003; 19: 193-204.

[5]   Dias JJ, Garcia-Elias M. Hand injury costs. Injury 2006; 37(11): 1071-7.

[6]   Kaisha WO, Khainga S. Causes and pattern of unilateral hand injuries. East Afr Med J 2008; 85(3): 123-8.

[7]   Sorock GS, Lombardi DA, Hauser RB, Eisen EA, Herrick RF, Mittleman MA. Acute traumatic occupational hand injuries: type, location, and severity. J Occup Environ Med 2002; 44(4): 345-51.

[8]   Frazier WH, Miller M, Fox RS, Brand D, Finseth F. Hand injuries: Incidence and epidemiology in an emergency service. J Am Coll Emerg Physician 1978; 7(7): 265-8.

[9]   Rosberg HE, Carlsson KS, Dahlin LB. Prospective study of patients with injuries to the hand and forearm: costs, function, and general health. Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg Hand Surg 2005; 39(6): 360-9.

[10]   Jin K, Lombardi DA, Courtney TK, *et al*. Patterns of work-related traumatic hand injury among hospitalised workers in the People's Republic of China. Inj Prev 2010; 16(1): 42-9.

[11]   Hand Surgery in the UK Manpower, resources, standards and training. Report of a working party. UK: The British Society for Surgery of the Hand 2007.

[12]   Training and resources in hand surgery: profile of hand surgery needs. UK: The British Society for Surgery of the Hand 1992.

[13]   Dias J. Helping the hand. A report on the provision of surgical care for acute hand disorders in the United Kingdom. UK: British Society for Surgery of the Hand 1999.

[14]   Health and Safety Executive [Reviewed: October 2011]. Available at: https: //handson.hse.gov.uk/hse/public/tablesimple.aspx?RID10

[15]   Health and Safety Executive [Reviewed: October 2011]. Available at: https: //handson.hse.gov.uk/hse/public/Tablesimple.aspx?RID9

[16]   Health and Safety Executive [Reviewed: October 2011]. Available at: https: //handson.hse.gov.uk/hse/public/tablesimple.aspx?RID8

[17]   Mahdavian Delavary B, Cremers JE, Ritt MJ. Hand and wrist malpractice claims in The Netherlands: 1993-2008. J Hand Surg Eur Vol 2010; 35(5): 381-4.

[18]   Khan IH, Giddins G. Analysis of NHSLA claims in hand and wrist surgery. J Hand Surg Eur Vol 2010; 35(1): 61-4.

[19]   Rockwood CA, Green DP, Bucholz RW, Eds. Rockwood and Green's Fractures in Adults. Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott 1991; pp. 441-561.

[20]   Moore KL, Dalley AF. Clinically orientated anatomy. 4th ed. Upper Limb. USA: LWW 1999; pp. 766-71.

[21]   American Medical Association. The upper extremeties. In: Conchiarella L, Andersson GBJ. Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment. 5th ed. USA: AMA Press 2001; 442/chap 16.

[22]   Soucacos PN. Indications and selection for digital amputation and replantation. J Hand Surg Br 2001; 26(6): 572-81.

[23]   DiFelice A Jr, Seiler JG 3rd, Whitesides TE Jr. The compartments of the hand: an anatomic study. J Hand Surg Am 1998; 23(4): 682-6.

[24]   Weinzweig J, Weinzweig N. The "Tic-Tac-Toe" classification system for mutilating injuries of the hand. Plast Reconstr Surg 1997; 100(5): 1200-11.

[25]   Reid DAC, Tubiana R. Mutilating Injuries of the Hand. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone 1984.

[26]   Pulvertaft G. Mutilating Hand Injuries. San Francisco: Library of the American Society for Surgery of the Hand 1971.

[27]   Wei F-C, Loftus JB. Metacarpal Hand: A Classification as a guide to reconstruction. Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Association for Hand Surgery. Mexico: Cancun 1993; p. 63.

[28]   Kotkansalo T, Vilkki SK, Elo P. The functional results of posttraumatic metacarpal hand reconstruction with microvascular toe transfers. J Hand Surg Eur Vol 2009; 34(6): 730-42.

[29]   Levin LS. Principles of definitive soft tissue coverage with flaps. J Orthop Trauma 2008; 22(10 Suppl): S161-6.

[30]   Doug M. Principles of Microsurgery: Technique. Physiology & Pharmacology February 2007.

[31]   Meuli H, Meyer V, Segmuller G. Stabilization of bone in replantation surgery of the upper limb. Clin Orthop 1978; 133: 179.

[32]   Hansen ST, Jr. The type IIIctibial fractures. Salvage or amputation. J Bone Joint Surg 1987; 69A: 799-800.

[33]   Bondurant F, Colter HV, Buckle R, Cortchett PM, Browner BD. The medical and economic impact of severely injured lower extremities. J Trauma 1988; 28: 1270-3.

[34]   Hansen ST, Jr. Overview of the severely traumatised lower limb. Reconstruction versus amputation. Clin Orthop 1989; 247: 17-9.

[35]   Michon J, Dolich B. The metacarpal hand. Hand 1974; 6: 285-90.

[36]   Wei F-C, Loftus JB. Metacarpal Hand: A classification as a guide to reconstruction. Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Association for Hand Surgery. Cancun, Mexico 1993; p. 63.

[37]   Brown HC, Williams HB, Woodhouse FM. Principles of salvage in mutilating hand injuries. J Trauma 1968; 8: 318-32.

[38]   Peacock K, Tsai TM. Comparison of functional results of replantation versus prosthesis in a patient with bilateral arm amputation. Clin Orthop Rel Res 1987; 214: 153-9.

[39]   Graham B1, Adkins P, Tsai TM, Firrell J, Breidenbach WC. Major replantation versus revision amputation and prosthetic fitting in the upper extremity: a late functional outcomes study. J Hand Surg Am 1998; 23: 783-91.

[40]   Morykwas MJ, Argenta LC, Shelton-Brown EI, McGuirt W. Vacuum- assisted closure: a new method for wound control and treatment—animal studies and basic foundation. Ann Plast Surg 1997; 38(6): 553-62.

[41]   Argenta LC, Morykwas MJ. Vacuum-assisted closure: a new method for wound control and treatment—clinical experience. Ann Plast Surg 1997; 38(6): 563-77.

[42]   Armstrong D, Lavery L. Diabetic foot study consortium. Negative pressure wound therapy after partial diabetic foot amputation: a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2005; 366(9498): 1704-10.

[43]   Rosenfeldt FL, Negri J, Holdaway D, *et al*. Occlusive wrap dressing reduces infection rate in saphenous vein harvest site. Ann Thorac Surg 2003; 75(1): 101-105. preservation. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1989; (238): 249-81.

[44]   Haimowitz JE, Margolis DJ. Moist wound healing. In: Krasner D, Kane D, Eds. Chronic Wound Care: A CLINICAL Source Book for Healthcare Professionals. 2nd ed. Wayne, PA: Health Management Publications 1997; pp. 49-55.

[45]   Weiss DS, Kirsner R, Eaglstein WH. Electrical stimulation and wound healing. Arch Dermatol 1990; 126(2): 222-5.

[46]   Mendonca DA, Cosker T, Makwana NK. Vacuum assisted closure to aid wound healing in foot and ankle surgery. Foot Ankle Int 2005; 26(9): 761-6.

[47]   Wongworawat MD, Schnall SB, Holtom PD, Moon C, Schiller F. Negative pressure dressings as an alternative technique for the treatment of infected wounds. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2003; (414): 45-8.

[48]   Archdeacon MT, Messerschmitt P. Modern papineau technique with vacuum-assisted closure. J Orthop Trauma 2006; 20(2): 134-7.

[49]   Geller SM, Longton JA. Ulceration of pyoderma gangrenosum treated with negative pressure wound therapy. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 2005; 95(2): 171-4.

[50]   Datiashvili RO, Knox KR. Negative pressure dressings: an alternative to free tissue transfer? Wound 2005; 17(8): 206-12.

[51]   Pelham FR, Kubiak EN, Sathappan SS, Di Cesare PE. Topical negative pressure in the treatment of infected wounds with exposed orthopaedic implants. J Wound Care 2006; 15(3): 111-6.

[52]   Repta R, Ford R, Hoberman L, Rechner B. The use of negative-pressure therapy and skin grafting in the treatment of soft-tissue defects over the Achilles tendon. Ann Plast Surg 2005; 55(4): 367-70.

[53]   Page JC, Newswander B, Schwenke DC, Hansen M, Ferguson J. Retrospective analysis of negative pressure wound therapy in open foot wounds with significant soft tissue defects. Adv Skin Wound Care 2004; 17(7): 354-64.

[54]   Bernstein BH, Tam H. Combination of subatmospheric pressure dressing and gravity feed antibiotic instillation in the treatment of post-surgical diabetic foot wounds: a case series. Wounds 2005; 17(2): 37-48.

[55]   Kanazawa H, Kurihara N, Hirata K, Fujimoto S, Takeda T. The role of free radicals and neutrophilelastase in development of pulmonary emphysema. Intern Med 1992; 31(7): 857-60.

[56]   Rheinwald JG, Green H. Serial cultivation of strains of human epidermal keratinocytes: the formation of keratinizing colonies from single cells. Cell 1975; 6: 331-44.

[57] Green H, Kehinde O, Thomas J. Growth of cultured human epidermal cells into multiple epithelia suitable for grafting. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1979; 76: 5665-8.

[58] Gallico GG III, O'Connor NE, Compton CC, Kehinde O, Green H. Permanent coverage of large burn wounds with autologous cultured human epithelium. N Engl J Med 1984; 311: 448-51.

[59] Carsin H, Ainaud P, Le Bever H, *et al*. Cultured epithelial autografts in extensive bum coverage of severely traumatized patients: a five year single-center experience with 30 patients. Burns 2000; 26: 379-87.

[60] Leigh IM, Purkis PE, Navsaria HA, Phillips TJ. Treatment of chronic venous ulcers with sheets of cultured allogenic keratinocytes. Br J Dermatol 1987; 117: 591-7.

[61] De Luca M, Albanese E, Bondanza S, *et al*. Multicentre experience in the treatment of burns with autologous and allogenic cultured epithelium, fresh or preserved in a frozen state. Burns 1989; 15: 303-9.

[62] Woodley DT, Peterson HD, Herzog SR, *et al*. Burn wounds resurfaced by cultured epidermal autografts show abnormal reconstitution of anchoring fibrils. JAMA 1988; 259: 2566-71.

[63] Compton CC, Gill JM, Bradford DA, Regauer S, Gallico GG, O'Connor NE. Skin regenerated from cultured epithelial auto-grafts on full-thickness burn wounds from 6 days to 5 years after grafting. A light, electron microscopic and immunohistochemical study. Lab Invest 1989; 60: 600-12.

[64] Terino EO. AlloDerm acellular dermal graft. Applications in aesthetic soft tissue augmentation. Clin Plast Surg 2001; 28: 83.

[65] Tavis MJ, Thornton JW, Bartlett RH, Roth JC, Woodroof EA. A new composite skin prosthesis. Burns 1980; 7: 123-30.

[66] Smith DJ, Jr, Robson MC, Meltzer T, Smith AA, McHugh TP, Heggers JP. DRG-driven change in burn wound management: a success story. Plast Reconstr Surg 1988; 82: 710-2.

[67] Yannas IV, Burke JF. Design of an artificial, skin. I. Basic design principles. J Biomed Mater Res 1980; 14: 65-81.

[68] Yannas IV, Burke JF, Gordon PL, Huang C, Rubenstein RH. Design of an artificial skin. II. Control of chemical composition. J Biomed Mater Res 1980; 14: 107-31.

[69] Burke JF, Yannas IV, Quinby WC Jr, Bondoc CC, Jung WK. Successful use of a physiologically acceptable artificial skin in the treatment 6f extensive burn injury. Ann Surg 1981; 194: 413-28.

[70] Heimbach D, Luterman A, Burke J, *et al*. Artificial dermis for major bums: a multi-center randomized clinical trial. Ann Surg 1988; 208: 313-20.

[71] Stern R, McPherson M, Longaker MT. Histologic study of artificial skin used in the treatment of full-thickness thermal injury. J Burn Care Rehabil 1990; 11: 7-13.

[72] Grzesiak JJ, Pierschbacher MD, Amodeo MF, Malaney TI, Glass JR. Enhancement of cell interactions with collagen/glyco-saminoglycan matrices by RGD derivatization. Biomaterials 1997; 18: 1625-32.

[73] Matsuda K, Suzuki S, Isshiki N, *et al*. A bilayer 'artificial skin' cap-able of sustained release of an antibiotic. Br J Plast Surg 1991; 44: 142-6.

[74] Yannas IV, Lee E, Orgill DE, Skrabut EM, Murphy GE. Synthesis and characterization of a model extracellular matrix that induces partial regeneration of adult mammalian skin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1989; 86: 933-7.

[75] International Registry on Hand and Composite Tissue Transplantat-ion. IRHCTT Web site. Available at: http: //www.handregistry.com

[76] American College of Rheumatology Subcommittee on Rheumatoid: Arthritis Guidelines. Guidelines for the Management of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2002; 46: 328.

[77] Díaz-Jouanen E, Abud-Mendoza C, Garza-Elizondo MA, *et al*. Recomendaciones para el tratamientomédico de la artritisreumato-ide. Rev Invest Clín 2005; 57: 735-55.

[78] Fonseca JE, Santos MJ, Canhão H, *et al*. Interleukin-6 as a key player in systemic inflammation and joint destruction. Autoimmun Rev 2009; 8: 538-42.

[79] Imazeki I, Saito H, Hasegawa M, Shinkura H, Kishimoto T, Ohsugi Y. IL-6 functions in cynomolgus monkeys blocked by a humanized antibody to human IL-6 receptor. Int J Immunopharmacol 1998; 20(7): 345-57.

[80] Fabrega AJ, Lopez-Boado M, Gonzalez S. Problems in the long-term renal allograft recipient. Crit Care Clin 1990; 6(4): 979-1005.