RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Arthroscopic Lysis of Arthrofibrosis and Manipulation After Knee Surgery



ISSN: 1874-3250

Ryota Sotomaru¹, Takatomo Mine^{1,*}, Michio Shinohara¹, Ryutaro Kuriyama¹, Tetsu Tsubone¹, Kouichiro Ihara¹ and Yuki Dohi¹

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, National Hospital Organization Kanmon Medical Center, Shimonoseki, Japan

Abstract:

Introduction: Arthrofibrosis is a well-known knee surgery complication. Optimal treatment of postoperative knee arthrofibrosis is very important. Arthroscopic lysis and manipulation are often effective. We evaluated the indications for and the utility of arthroscopic lysis and knee manipulation.

Methods: Ten patients (10 knees) underwent arthroscopic lysis of arthrofibrosis and knee manipulation. The follow-up evaluation included a clinical assessment. The mean follow-up time was 3.3 ± 1.2 months (from 2 to 6 months).

Results: The mean final gained arc of motion was $35.2^{\circ}\pm 14.4^{\circ}$. The mean preoperative Lysholm score was 73.5 ± 2.5 . The mean postoperative score was 90.5 ± 9.5 . At the time of the initial surgery, the ROM tended to be lower in patients who had undergone surgery for > 6 months prior.

Conclusion: Final ROM outcomes were better in cases within 6 months of the initial surgery than in cases over 6 months. Arthroscopic lysis and manipulation for postoperative arthrofibrosis of the knee should be performed as early as possible, within 6 months of the initial surgery.

Keywords: knee, arthroscopy, arthrofibrosis, manipulation, lysis, complication.

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, National Hospital Organization Kanmon Medical Center, Shimonoseki, Japan; Tel: +81-83-241-1199; Fax: +81-83-241-1301; E-mail: mine.takatomo.ga@mail.hosp.go.jp

Cite as: Sotomaru R, Mine T, Shinohara M, Kuriyama R, Tsubone T, Ihara K, Dohi Y. Arthroscopic Lysis of Arthrofibrosis and Manipulation After Knee Surgery. Open Orthop J, 2025; 19: e18743250390709. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118743250390709250929063345



Received: April 08, 2025 Revised: June 14, 2025 Accepted: July 08, 2025 Published: October 08, 2025



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

1. INTRODUCTION

Knee arthrofibrosis is often observed as a complication of traumatic injuries or subsequent surgery, both inside and outside the knee joint [1]. The underlying causes include fibrosis of the suprapatellar bursa and the surrounding vastus intermedius muscle, adhesion of the patella to the intercondylar region of the femur, fibrosis of the vastus lateralis muscle with adhesion to the lateral femoral condyle, and the rectus femoris muscle shortening [2]. The arc of motion of a normal knee is widely accepted to be 0° - 135°, with 0° - 120° of flexion required to accomplish most activities of daily living [3, 4]. Early knee motion and dedicated rehabilitation in the acute

postoperative period are critical for decreasing the risk of arthrofibrosis [3, 5]. However, even after performing meniscal repair, the knee is often immobilized with a knee brace for one to two weeks [6]. Therefore, early knee motion is delayed. Optimal treatment of postoperative knee arthrofibrosis is very important. Arthroscopic lysis and manipulation are effective methods for postoperative knee arthrofibrosis [7]. In this study, we evaluated the indications for and utility of arthroscopic lysis and knee manipulation.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Ten patients (10 knees) underwent arthroscopic lysis

of adhesions at our institution between January 2013 and December 2023 for the treatment of postoperative knee arthrofibrosisis. The causes of knee arthrofibrosis were as follows: anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury in four knees, meniscal injury in four knees, patellar fracture in one knee, and patellar cartilage injury in one knee. Inclusion Criteria were patients with limitation of flexion to less than 120 degrees after initial surgery, not responding to physiotherapy for more than two months.

The group comprised one male (one knee) and nine females (nine knees). Mean age at surgery was 38.7 ± 18.0 years (from 17 to 70 years old). The mean duration from initial surgery to arthroscopic lysis was 3.9 ± 2.5 months. The mean follow-up time was 3.3 ± 1.2 months (from 2 to 6 months). The subject was simply the number of cases over a 10-year period, and it just so happened

that one of them was a man.

2.1. Surgical Technique

All the patients were placed in the supine position on an operating table. A tourniquet was applied to the thigh with the knee in the maximum possible flexion position to minimize capture of the quadriceps femoris muscle. The procedure was performed using the three-portal technique with two standard anterolateral and anteromedial portals in addition to the accessory superolateral portal [8, 9]. Tissue adhesions were identified (Fig. 1) and debrided using a radiofrequency device (Arthrocare, Arthrocare Sports Medicine, USA) (Fig. 2). Subsequently, gentle manipulation was performed by applying slight pressure on the tibial tubercle to avoid fractures or disruption of the extensor mechanism. After the operation, continuous passive motion was immediately initiated.



Fig. (1). Arthroscopic view from an anterolateral portal. Tissue adhesions were identified.



Fig. (2). Arthroscopic view from an anterolateral portal. After arthroscopic releases for arthrofibrosis.

2.2. Clinical Assessment

Clinical evaluations included range of motion (ROM): preoperatively, 2 months, 3 months, and at the final follow-up. The correlation between age, time since initial surgery, and ROM was assessed in the final arc of motion. The Lysholm score was calculated pre and postoperatively.

Statistical analysis was performed using the T-test and repeated measures ANOVA within and between-group comparisons. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

The mean knee ROM was $101.3^{\circ}\pm 17.6^{\circ}$ preoperatively, $137.4^{\circ}\pm 9.5^{\circ}$ at 2 months postoperatively, $139.1^{\circ}\pm 9.0^{\circ}$ at 3 months postoperatively, and was maintained at $138.8^{\circ}\pm 10.0^{\circ}$ at the follow-up time.

The mean final gained arc of motion was $35.2^{\circ}\pm 14.4^{\circ}$. There was no significant difference in ROM between ACL reconstruction and meniscal repair (Table 1). There was no significant difference in the acquired ROM between patients aged> 40 years and those aged< 40 years. At the time of initial surgery, the ROM tended to be lower in patients who had undergone surgery > 6 months prior. Patients with a pre-operative flexion > 110° had a smaller ROM than those with a ROM of less than 110° (Table 2). The mean preoperative Lysholm score was 73.5 ± 2.5 (range: 71.76). The mean postoperative score was 90.5 ± 9.5 (range: 81.100).

Table 1. Data of disease-specific ROM improvement.

-	Preop Range (Avg.)	Final ROM Improvement	
ACL injury N=4	106.3°	106.3°	35.5°
Meniscal injury 4	109.5°	144°	34.5°
Patellar Fx 1	65°	125° 60.0°	
Articular cartilage injury 1	85°	120°	35.0°

Table 2. Data of ROM improvement by each factor.

-	-	ROM Improvement	p-value
	N=10	37.5°	-
Age	-	-	1
<40	4	35.5	0.77
>40	6	35	1
Duration from the primary surg.	,	-	-
<3 M	6	37.5	-
3~6	2	46	0.47
>6	2	29	-
Preop range	-	=	-
<90°	2	47.5	-
90~110	5	41	0.31
>110	3	25	-

4. DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that arthroscopic lysis and knee manipulation is an effective option for the treatment of postoperative knee arthrofibrosisis. However, final ROM outcomes were better in cases within 6 months of the initial surgery than in cases over 6 months.

Knee stiffness can progress to arthrofibrosis and is a frequent complication of fracture fixation and ligament reconstruction with an incidence of up to 17% [4, 5]. Arthrofibrosis is a well-known complication after total knee arthroplasty, occurring in approximately 1.3-5.2% of patients undergoing surgery [4, 5, 10, 11]. In addition, after ACL reconstruction, arthrofibrosis occurs in approximately 2-38% of patients undergoing surgery [10, 12, 14-16]. After performing meniscal repair, knee brace fixation is often necessary to improve postoperative outcomes, and arthrofibrosis may occur [6]. Current studies suggest that a mix of genetic and environmental factors facilitates the upregulation of fibroblastic activity during the acute postoperative period, which plays a dominant role [16-18]. Arthrofibrosis is commonly treated with a combination of closed manual manipulation under anesthesia and physical therapy. Fabricant reported that patients with symptomatic arthrofibrosis of the knee refractory to extensive nonoperative treatment can achieve clinically significant improvements in ROM and knee function after arthroscopic lysis with manual manipulation [11]. In this study, the mean final gained arc of motion was 35.2°± 14.4°. The improvements in postoperative ROM were relatively good. There was no significant difference in ROM between ACL reconstruction and meniscal repair. Norman et al. reported indications for arthroscopic knee manipulation, including patients who had undergone open surgery, those with knee flexion limited to ≤90° under anesthesia, and cases unresponsive to non-invasive treatments [19]. Fackler et al. reported a 42° improvement in the knee arc of motion after arthroscopic lysis and knee manipulation in eight studies comprising 240 patients with all-cause atrial fibrillation [7]. However, in cases of intra-articular and extra-articular contractures, such as those due to quadriceps shortening or adhesions following femoral shaft fractures, sufficient improvement in ROM cannot be expected. Regarding the timing of the procedure, Norman et al. recommended performing the surgery 2-3 months postoperatively [19]. In this study, final ROM outcomes were better in cases within 6 months after the initial surgery than in cases over 6 months. However, in two cases, over 6 months after the initial surgery, intraoperative improvement in ROM was observed. It appears that extra-articular contractures, such as those caused by quadriceps shortening, are not involved. Future research should further investigate the comparison between cases within 6 months after the initial surgery and those beyond 6 months.

5. LIMITATIONS

One limitation of our study, which affected the statistical power of the results, was the small number of patients. Further investigation with larger sample sizes is

required to obtain more accurate clinical data. Although this study had some limitations, it improved our current understanding of arthroscopic lysis of adhesions and manipulation after knee surgery.

CONCLUSION

Arthroscopic lysis and manipulation were an effective option for the treatment of postoperative knee arthrofibrosisis. Considering the possibility of extra-articular contractures appearing, arthroscopic lysis and manipulation should be performed as early as possible, within 6 months of the initial surgery.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

RS, TM designed the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. M.S., R.K., T.T., and K.I.: Collected the data and participated in the design of the study. Y.D.: Analyzed the data and helped to write. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ROM = Range of motion

ACL = Anterior cruciate ligament

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study was approved by the Ethical Review Boards of Kanmon Medical Center (Shimonoseki, Japan). Informed consent to participate in our study was obtained from participants. A reference number with Ethics Committee approval is R07041.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of institutional and/or research committee and with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

We have obtained consent to publish from the participants.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The authors are unable to share raw data because ethical approval was not obtained for data sharing. In addition, informed consent for data sharing was not obtained from the individuals. Please find all summarized datasets reported in the results section and the tables.

FUNDING

This research was supported by the commissioned research expenses to Kanmon Medical Center (Shimonoseki, Japan) from Kyocera Japan and Japan Medical Dynamic Marketing ING.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pujol N, Boisrenoult P, Beaufils P. Post-traumatic knee stiffness: Surgical techniques. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2015; 101(1 Suppl): S179-86. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2014.06.026 PMID: 25583236
- [2] Chen MR, Dragoo JL. Arthroscopic releases for arthrofibrosis of the knee. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2011; 19(11): 709-16. http://dx.doi.org/10.5435/00124635-201111000-00007 PMID: 22052647
- [3] Haller JM, Holt DC, McFadden ML, Higgins TF, Kubiak EN. Arthrofibrosis of the knee following a fracture of the tibial plateau. Bone Joint J 2015; 97-B(1): 109-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.97B1.34195
 PMID:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.97B1.34195 PMID: 25568423

- [4] Gittings D, Hesketh P, Dattilo J, Zgonis M, Kelly J, Mehta S. Arthroscopic lysis of adhesions improves knee range of motion after fixation of intra-articular fractures about the knee. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2016; 136(12): 1631-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00402-016-2561-2 PMID: 27576878
- [5] Bodendorfer BM, Keeling LE, Michaelson EM, et al. Predictors of knee arthrofibrosis and outcomes after arthroscopic lysis of adhesions following ligamentous reconstruction: A retrospective case-control study with over two years' average follow-up. J Knee Surg 2019; 32(6): 536-43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0038-1655741 PMID: 29852512
- [6] Mine T, Ueda T, Ihara K, Kawamura H, Kuriyama R, Tominaga Y. Possibility of meniscal repair for degenerative and horizontal tear. Open Orthop J 2019; 13(1): 260-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1874325001913010260
- [7] Fackler N, Chin G, Karasavvidis T, et al. Outcomes of arthroscopic lysis of adhesions for the treatment of postoperative knee arthrofibrosis: A systematic review. Orthop J Sports Med 2022; 10(9): 23259671221124911. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/23259671221124911 PMID: 36186712
- [8] Abdelghafour KM, S Elkalyoby A, H Amer M, Abdelaziz MK. Arthroscopic lysis of adhesions for treatment of post-traumatic knee arthrofibrosis: A prospective study. Cureus 2023; 15(1): 33275. http://dx.doi.org/10.7759/cureus.33275 PMID: 36606104
- [9] Stiefel EC, McIntyre L. Arthroscopic lysis of adhesions for treatment of post-traumatic arthrofibrosis of the knee joint. Arthrosc Tech 2017; 6(4): e939-44. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eats.2017.03.001 PMID: 29487783
- [10] Ekhtiari S, Horner NS, de SA D, et al. Arthrofibrosis after ACL reconstruction is best treated in a step-wise approach with early recognition and intervention: A systematic review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2017; 25(12): 3929-37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00167-017-4482-1 PMID: 28260199
- [11] Fabricant PD, Tepolt FA, Kocher MS. Range of motion improvement following surgical management of knee arthrofibrosis in children and adolescents. J Pediatr Orthop 2018; 38(9): e495-500. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/BPO.0000000000001227 PMID:
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/BPO.0000000000001227 PMIE 29985868
- [12] DeHaven KE, Cosgarea AJ, Sebastianelli WJ. Arthrofibrosis of the knee following ligament surgery. Instr Course Lect 2003; 52: 369-81. PMID: 12690864
- [13] Millett PJ, Wickiewicz TL, Warren RF. Motion loss after ligament injuries to the knee. Part I: Causes. Am J Sports Med 2001; 29(5): 664-75.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/03635465010290052401 PMII 11573929
- [14] Sutanto R. Management for arthrofibrosis following ACL reconstruction. Orthop J Sports Med 2023; 11.(2 Suppl):

- 2325967121S00856. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2325967121S00856
- [15] Kalson NS, Borthwick LA, Mann DA, et al. International consensus on the definition and classification of fibrosis of the knee joint. Bone Joint J 2016; 98-B(11): 1479-88. http://dx.doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.98B10.37957 PMID: 27803223
- [16] Sanders TL, Kremers HM, Bryan AJ, Kremers WK, Stuart MJ, Krych AJ. Procedural intervention for arthrofibrosis after ACL reconstruction: Trends over two decades. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2017; 25(2): 532-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00167-015-3799-x PMID: 26410093
- [17] Usher KM, Zhu S, Mavropalias G, Carrino JA, Zhao J, Xu J. Pathological mechanisms and therapeutic outlooks for arthrofibrosis. Bone Res 2019; 7(1): 9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41413-019-0047-x PMID: 30937213
- [18] Wyatt PB, Satalich J, Cyrus J, O'Neill C, O'Connell R. Biochemical markers of postsurgical knee arthrofibrosis: A systematic review. J Orthop 2023; 35: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2022.10.008 PMID: 36325249
- [19] Sprague NF III, O'Connor RL, Fox JM. Arthroscopic treatment of postoperative knee fibroarthrosis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1982; 166(166): 165-72. PMID: 7083667

DISCLAIMER: The above article has been published, as is, ahead-of-print, to provide early visibility but is not the final version. Major publication processes like copyediting, proofing, typesetting and further review are still to be done and may lead to changes in the final published version, if it is eventually published. All legal disclaimers that apply to the final published article also apply to this ahead-of-print version.