

Physeal Sparing Proximal Femoral Endoprosthetic Reconstruction with a Short Distal Segment: A Case Report

Eytan Galanter¹ and Timothy A. Damron^{1,*}

¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, SUNY Upstate Medical University, College of Medicine, NY 13057, United States

Abstract:

Background:

A custom endoprosthesis allowed for the preservation of the distal femoral physis with a short remaining metaphyseal segment after osteosarcoma resection in a 10-year-old boy. CASE

Case Presentation:

This case illustrates a reconstructive alternative allowing physeal sparing with minimal remaining metaphyseal bone. At the three-year follow-up, the patient has remained complication-free with distal femoral growth maintaining symmetric limb length.

Conclusion:

When intercalary biologic reconstruction is not an option and the sacrifice of physis necessitates an extendible total femoral prosthesis, custom physeal sparing short segment press-fit fixation is an appropriate solution.

Keywords: Distal segment, Endoprosthetic reconstruction, Physis necessitates, Symmetric limb length, Physeal sparing, Metaphyseal bone.

| Article History | Received: January 09, 2023 | Revised: March 21, 2023 | Accepted: March 21, 2023 |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | |

1. INTRODUCTION

In skeletally immature patients, physeal sparing sarcoma resection may prevent severe debilitating limb length discrepancy and allow joint preservation [1]. Distal femoral growth plate preservation should be the goal when oncologically safe to avoid total femoral replacement or an ablative procedure. In this case, a novel custom distal femoral fixation of a proximal femoral hemiarthroplasty is presented. This case is unique in using a press-fit stem design with an extramedullary phage plate and screw fixation in a short remaining distal femoral bone segment to preserve physis.

2. CASE

A 10-year-old male presented with gradual onset of activity-related, progressive proximal right thigh pain. X-rays showed an extensive permeative proximal femoral metadiaphyseal osteoblastic bone lesion extending from the lesser trochanter to the distal metaphysis with aggressive periosteal reaction and suggestion of circumferential soft tissue extension, suggestive of osteosarcoma (Fig. 1A - C).

MRI showed an enhancing intramedullary lesion component extending from the femoral neck to within 5 cm of the distal femoral growth plate with circumferential extraosseous soft tissue (Fig. **2A** - **D**). CT chest showed multiple bilateral lung nodules. Tc99 nuclear medicine scan showed no bone metastases. Open femur biopsy showed highgrade osteoblastic osteosarcoma. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy was initiated under Children's Oncology Group AOST (All group OSTeosarcoma) 0331 protocol).

Because of the proximal involvement of the femoral neck, preservation of any proximal femur was deemed oncologically unsafe, necessitating proximal femoral intra-articular resection. Distal physeal-sparing resection was possible if an appropriate reconstruction with physeal sparing was devised. Accepting a 1 cm bone margin distally, 3.5 cm of bone remained from the distal cut to physis. A custom distal femoral short segment was designed for intra- and extra-medullary fixation with a short porous intramedullary stem with dual plate and screw fixation.

Restaging MRI after chemotherapy showed no changes in

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Orthopedic Surgery, SUNY Upstate Medical University, College of Medicine, NY 13057, United States; Tel: 315-464-4472; E-mail: damront@upstate.edu

the tumor's intraosseous or extraosseous extent or chest nodules by CT. Subsequent lung nodule resection was elected. Primary proximal femoral intra-articular resection with distal physeal sparing osteotomy was performed. To precisely achieve a clean margin leaving the planned 3.5 cm of distal metaphyseal bone to accommodate the custom implant, a custom cutting jig was affixed to the anteromedial metaphyseal periosteal surface just proximal to the planned osteotomy, pinned with 1.6 mm pins. After confirming a clear distal bone margin histologically, reconstruction was accomplished with the custom endoprosthesis (Onkos Surgical, New Jersey, USA) (Fig. **3A** - **D**). Final permanent pathology evaluation showed all bone and soft tissue margins were clear with 95% tumor necrosis.

Chemotherapy was resumed 2 weeks postoperatively for four months. Three months after the local control procedure, thoracotomy with right upper and lower lobe nodule resections confirmed metastatic osteosarcoma with 100% necrosis. Restorative physical therapy (PT) with active motion, quad strengthening, and crutch toe touch weight bearing was initiated post-operatively. A hinged knee brace was locked in full extension for 6 weeks except during PT.

Femoral imaging every 3 months showed consistent femoral growth. The latest follow-up three years post reconstruction showed no instability or loosening and continued distal femoral growth (Fig. **4A** - **C**).



Fig. (1). Plain AP (A and B) and lateral (C) radiographs of the femur reveal a permeative appearance with sclerosis of the right femoral diaphysis with extension into the proximal metaphysis. There is a relative sparing of the distal metaphysis of the right femur. There is a laminated periosteal reaction along the femoral shaft, more marked along its medial aspect. There is also a sun burst type periosteal reaction along the medial and the lateral cortex of the mid to distal femoral diaphysis. There is soft tissue prominence in the right thigh.



Fig. (2). Coronal MRI of the proximal femur (\mathbf{A}) and distal femur (\mathbf{B}) as well as coronal MRI with STIR of the proximal femur (\mathbf{C}) and distal femur (\mathbf{D}). A heterogeneously enhancing aggressive lesion with intramedullary and extraosseous soft tissue components involving the femoral neck and the shaft associated with the aggressive periosteal reaction, infiltration into adjoining musculature concerning osteosarcoma.



Fig. (3). Plain AP (A) and lateral (B) radiograph of the distal femur. AP radiograph of the proximal femur (C-D). There has been an interval nearcomplete resection of the right femur with the placement of the right femur mega prosthesis. The head of the prosthesis is located within the acetabulum.



Fig. (4). AP view of the distal (A) and proximal (C) femur as well as a lateral view of the distal femur (B) by plain radiograph three years postoperatively. There is no evidence of endoprosthetic complications. There is the continued growth of the distal femur.

A dedicated limb length study at 21 months showed symmetric limb lengths (Fig. **5A** - **B**) Chest CT remained clear through the most recent visit 30 months post right upper and lower lobe metastasectomies.

3. DISCUSSION

We present a case of a 10-year-old male with osteosarcoma surgically managed with proximal femoral replacement and custom distal short-segment physeal sparing fixation. Three years post-op, the ipsilateral distal femoral growth plate remains open with no limb length discrepancy.

Potential limb length discrepancies after pediatric oncologic limb salvage surgery must be considered when planning resection and reconstruction. This is particularly relevant in the distal femur, the primary contributor to lower extremity growth, typically accounting for 40% of overall growth [2 - 7]. Growth plate preservation during resection is the simplest step to avoid limb-length discrepancy [3, 5]. Had resection of the entire femur been done, a total femoral prosthetic would have been the reconstruction of choice for limb sparing. Total femur replacements do poorly in the skeletally immature population with the potential for multiple procedures to correct leg length discrepancies, poor functional outcomes, and postoperative complications [3 - 13].

In the only published series of custom physeal-sparing endoprostheses like the one presented here, Tsuda *et al.* reported 18 patients, 11 with femoral tumors [14]. Overall results showed 79% five-year implant survival and only 3/18 implant failures. Physeal growth averaged 3.3 cm with maximum of 14 cm. However, 61% had complications, and 39% required additional surgery. In their three distal femoral cases, a similar construct to ours was used, although they used a cemented stem with a porous coated undersurface of the extramedullary phlanges, for which a press-fit ingrowth stem and non-porous coated plates were used.

Alternatives to custom prostheses for distal femoral growth plate preservation include allograft and vascularized fibular graft (VFG) reconstructions [9]. An eminent group from Buenos Aires, Argentina, has reported their results of allograft reconstruction [12]. Focusing on physeal preservation, they reported 13 patients with intercalary allografts for distal metaphyseal osteosarcomas in 2005 and 35 patients with proximal tibial and distal femoral osteosarcomas in 2015 [10]. Complications of these reconstructions, despite the arguably unparalleled allograft experience of this group, were frequent, as 7/11 (64%) of the patients had major complications, and 54% requiring additional surgery for complications [9]. Vascularized fibula grafts, either alone or in combination with allografts achieve high rates of healing and graft preservation, but fracture rates can be as high as 62% and donor site morbidities are concerning [10, 12]. Allograft Vfg reconstructions popularized by the Rizzoli orthopedic oncology group achieved excellent functional results, but in their series of 81 pediatric sarcoma patients, complications were seen in nearly 30% (24/81) [5]. These options would not have been practical for this patient due to proximal femoral tumor extension.

Use of custom short-segment fixation endoprosthetic reconstruction of the proximal femur following distal femoral physeal sparing surgery maintains skeletal growth, preserves the native knee joint, and avoids complications associated with extendable endoprosthesis. Alternatives that maintain preservation of the distal physis also include extracorporeal devitalized autografts and 3D printed implants with tissue integration. Extracorporeal autografts are difficult for patients like this one with disease involving his femoral neck that require articular reconstructions. However, they have been shown to be a successful method for physis-preservation and functional outcome in long term follow-up [4].

The use of 3D printed reconstructions offers another uniquely patient specific custom approach. The 3D printed trabecular meshwork is thought to be more biocompatible and to have intrinsic osteogenic properties [6]. This technology is under development [9].

Establishing the safety and efficacy of the custom endoprosthesis in treating skeletally immature patients will require large, pooled numbers of patients at many large academic medical centers with much longer follow-up data. Although the findings are reassuring in this patient, further follow-up will be done to evaluate for long term prevention of limb-length disparity and safety [15, 16].



Fig. (5). (A-B) Limb length study with an AP view of the ankle and the entire lower limb. The growth plates remained open at this time. Femoraltibial axis alignment is essentially symmetric. Although the knee joint level appears slightly higher than the contralateral limb, the ankle and hip joint levels appear essentially symmetric. There are between 11 and 12 degrees of valgus deformity bilaterally.

CONCLUSION

A 10-year-old boy has been treated with a custom physeal sparing short segment press-fit fixation due to minimal remaining metaphyseal bone. This solution is appropriate and allows for the avoidance of an extendable endoprosthetic. On three year follow up, the patient had no limb length discrepancy, preserved the joint, and avoided multiple surgeries with potential complications. Further studies are necessary to evaluate larger case series with longer follow-up to evaluate the long-term safety and viability of this approach.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| VFG | = | Vascularized Fibular Graft |
|-----|---|----------------------------|
| РТ | = | Physical Therapy |

ETHICS APPROVAL CONSENT AND TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

Not applicable.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Written consent was obtained for this patient from the patient's parents.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

CARE guidelines and methodology were followed.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

Shehadeh AM, Isleem U, Abdelal S, Salameh H, Abdelhalim M. [1] Surgical technique and outcome of custom joint-sparing endoprosthesis as a reconstructive modality in juxta-articular bone sarcoma. J Oncol 2019; 2019 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2019/9417284]

[2] Agarwal M, Puri A, Gulia A, Reddy K. Joint-sparing or physealsparing diaphyseal resections: the challenge of holding small fragments. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2010; 468(11): 2924-32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11999-010-1458-6] [PMID: 20607464]

[3] Cool WP, Carter SR, Grimer RJ, Tillman RM, Walker PS. Growth after extendible endoprosthetic replacement of the distal femur. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1997; 79-B(6): 938-42.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.79B6.0790938] [PMID: 9393907]

- Emori M, Kava M, Irifune H, et al. Vascularised fibular grafts for [4] reconstruction of extremity bone defects after resection of bone and soft-tissue tumours. Bone Joint J 2017; 99-B(9): 1237-43. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.99B9.BJJ-2017-0219.R1] [PMID: 28860406]
- Errani C, Ceruso M, Donati DM, Manfrini M. Microsurgical [5] reconstruction with vascularized fibula and massive bone allograft for bone tumors. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol 2019; 29(2): 307-11. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00590-018-2360-2] [PMID: 30519732]
- Guder WK, Hardes J, Nottrott M, Podleska LE, Streitbürger A. Highly [6] cancellous titanium alloy (TiAl6V4) surfaces on three-dimensionally printed, custom-made intercalary tibia prostheses: Promising short- to intermediate-term results. J Pers Med 2021; 11(5): 351. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jpm11050351]
- [7] Gupta A, Pollock R, Cannon SR, Briggs TW, Skinner J, Blunn G. A knee-sparing distal femoral endoprosthesis using hydroxyapatitecoated extracortical plates. Preliminary results. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2006; 8(10): 1367-72

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.88B10.17756]

Levin AS, Arkader A, Morris CD. Reconstruction following tumor [8] resections in skeletally immature patients. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2017 25(3) 204-13

[http://dx.doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-15-00619] [PMID: 28195984]

- [9] Li B, Zhang M, Lu Q, et al. Application and development of modern 3d printing technology in the field of orthopedics. Biomed Res Int 2022; 2022: 8759060. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2022/8759060]
- [10] Muscolo DL, Averza MA, Aponte-Tinao LA, Ranalletta M. Partial epiphyseal preservation and intercalary allograft reconstruction in high-grade metaphyseal osteosarcoma of the knee. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005; 87(Pt 2)(S1): 226-36. [PMID: 16140796]
- [11] Sainsbury DCG, Liu EH, Alvarez-Veronesi MC, et al. Long-term outcomes following lower extremity sarcoma resection and reconstruction with vascularized fibula flaps in children. Plast Reconstr Surg 2014; 134(4): 808-20. [PMID: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PRS.000000000000555]

24945953]

San-Julian M, Vazquez-Garcia B. Biological reconstruction in bone [12] sarcomas: Lessons from three decades of experience. Orthop Surg 2016; 8(2): 111-21. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/os.12243] [PMID: 27384719]

- [13] Shea KG, Coleman DA, Scott SM, Coleman SS, Christianson M. Microvascularized free fibular grafts for reconstruction of skeletal defects after tumor resection. J Pediatr Orthop 1997; 17(4): 424-32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01241398-199707000-00002] [PMID: 9364376]
- Tsuda Y, Fujiwara T, Sree D, Stevenson JD, Evans S, Abudu A. [14] Physeal-preserving endoprosthetic replacement with short segment fixation in children with bone sarcomas. Bone Joint J 2019; 101-B(9): 1144-50. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.101B9.BJJ-2018-1333.R1]

[PMID: 31474137]

- [15] Wong KC, Kumta SM. Joint-preserving tumor resection and reconstruction using image-guided computer navigation. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2013; 471(3): 762-73. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11999-012-2536-8] [PMID: 22948524]
- Xu LH, Zhang Q, Zhao HT, Yu F, Niu XH. Computer navigation-[16] aided joint-preserving resection and custom-made endoprosthesis reconstruction for bone sarcomas: long-term outcomes. Chin Med J 2021; 134(21): 2597-602. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CM9.000000000001750] [PMID: 34748525]

© 2023 Galanter and Damron

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.